

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/709,116	04/14/2004	Franco Leonardi	81100112 / FMC 1694 3115 PUS1	
	28395 7	590 09/22/2004		EXAM	MINER
	BROOKS KU	JSHMAN P.C./FGTL		DUDA, RINA I	
	1000 TOWN C	CENTER			
	22ND FLOOR SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075-1238			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2837	

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>	1001		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/709,116	LEONARDI ET AL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	•		
	Rina I Duda	2837			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	•		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely, the mailing date of this communical ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
,	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		osecution as to the merits	is		
closed in accordance with the practice under	•				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdreds 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and subject to restriction and subject to restriction.	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 April 2004</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	pjected to, See 37 CFR 1.12	1(d).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document compared to the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the priority	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv	ion No			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
			·		
Attachment(s)					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0: Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/15/04. 	Paper No(s)/Mail D		,		

Application/Control Number: 10/709,116 Page 2

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 22-24 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR

1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected group, there being no allowable generic or

linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 8/23/04.

A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Drawings

2. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art— because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.121(d)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2837

4. Claims 1-7, 10-12, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McCann (US Patent 5637974).

McCann teaches a method for controlling an electric motor comprising operating the motor using a position estimator system if the rotational speed is higher, operating the motor using a position sensor system when the speed is higher, as described in column 10 lines 33-64.

Claims 2, 3, 5, 6,11, 17, McCann describes in column 6 lines 51-67 through column 7 lines 1-3 how position estimator 60 compares the estimated position value to the sensed position signal in order to determine a position error that is used to correct and determined an updated position signal.

Claim 7, McCann describes in column 6 lines 33-57 how the sensorless system uses sensed voltage and sensed current to determine an estimated position signal, which is later used by the position estimated to determined a corrected position signal.

Claim 10, McCann describes a system for controlling an electric motor 50 comprising an inverter 48 connected to the motor, a position estimator 60 connected to the inverter and the motor through means 46, a controller 40 connected to the estimator and the inverter, a position sensor 68 connected to the motor and the estimator, wherein the estimator determines a first position signal based on the voltage and current measured from inverter 48 and determines a second position signal based on the output from the sensor 68. In reference to claim 16, the preamble has not been given any patentable weight because it has been held that a preamble is denied the effect of a limitation where the claim is drawn to a structure and the portion of the claim

following the preamble is self contained description of the structure not depending for completeness upon the introductory clause, therefore claim 16 is rejected for the same reasons given above.

Claim 12 and 18, McCann describes in column 7 lines 43-46 that the position sensor is a low-resolution sensor.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCann (US patent 5637974).

Claim 8, McCann discloses the claimed invention except for the threshold speed being about 50 rpm. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to choose 50 rpm, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980)*.

Claim 9, McCann discloses the claimed invention except for the threshold speed being in the range of about 10 rpm to about 100 rpm. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to choose the range mentioned above, since it has been held that where the general condition of a

claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable range involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

7. Claims 13-15 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCann (US Patent 5637974) and Brandenburg et al (US Patent 6073713).

The only difference between claims 13-15 and 19-21 and the teachings of McCann is that the claims recite a specific type of position sensor.

Brandenburg et al teaches the use of camshaft type, crankshaft type sensor, and transmission sensors in a motor vehicle, as described by Brandenburg et al these type of sensors are a form of Hall effect sensors and as described by McCann his position control system uses Hall effect position sensors.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to choose crankshaft, camshaft, or transmission sensors to measure the actual position sensors since these sensors are a type of Hall effect sensors and McCann uses Hall effect sensors in his system.

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The documents cited disclose several systems for controlling motors using sensorless and sensor based methods.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,116 Page 6

Art Unit: 2837

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rina I Duda whose telephone number is 571-272-2062.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached at 571-272-2107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RD

PRIMARY EXAMINER